

Metadata Harvesting: Applications and Influence in Digital Publishing

Ruben Nag¹and Rahul Guhathakurta²

Introduction

The digital publishing landscape is in a state of perpetual evolution, driven by rapid advancements in technology, shifting user expectations, and the exponential growth of digital content. As of November 2024, the proliferation of online platforms-ranging from open access repositories to real-time news aggregators-has created a vast, interconnected ecosystem where billions of articles, datasets, and multimedia assets compete for visibility and relevance. Within this dynamic environment, metadata emerges as a key enabler, providing the structured information necessary for content discoverability, system compatibility, and audience interaction. Metadata harvesting, the systematic and often automated process of gathering, normalizing, and aggregating this metadata from diverse sources, has become a fundamental pillar supporting both academic and news publishing. This article delves into the technical underpinnings of metadata harvesting, its methodologies, standards, and transformative applications, offering a comprehensive analysis of its critical role in the digital publishing industry. By examining its distinct yet overlapping contributions to academic publishing and news publishing, we illuminate how metadata harvesting enhances content accessibility, empowers data-driven decision-making, and catalyses innovation across an increasingly interconnected digital ecosystem.

Metadata, at its core, is "*data about data*"—a structured layer of descriptors that encapsulates essential attributes of digital resources. In digital publishing, this includes bibliographic details (e.g., titles, authors, publication dates), semantic tags (e.g., keywords, categories), technical identifiers (e.g., DOIs, URLs), and contextual metrics (e.g., citation counts, view statistics).

Open Access Cases (OAC)

¹ Ruben Nag is a Strategy Consultant at IBM, Kolkata, specializing in global finance and supply chain strategy. With over nine years of experience, he focuses on solving complex problems, making things happen and creating real value across industries.

² Rahul Guhathakurta is the Publisher and Co-Founder of IndraStra Global Publishing Solutions Inc., where he leads the company's strategic direction and innovation in digital publishing technologies. With a hands-on approach to both open-source and proprietary solutions, he collaborates with cross-functional teams to optimize digital workflows, and implement SaaS solutions.

Open Access Cases (OAC) follows the principles of Diamond Open Access, offering free access to high-quality case studies without any Article Processing Charges (APC). There are no embargo periods or paywalls, ensuring that all content is readily accessible to all readers. All case studies published in OAC are licensed under the **Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License**, allowing users to share and adapt the material with proper attribution, for non-commercial purposes, and without modifying the content.



Unlike raw content, metadata is machine-readable, enabling systems to index, link, and process vast datasets efficiently. Metadata harvesting amplifies this utility by collecting metadata at scale from heterogeneous sources—academic repositories, journal databases, news websites, or content management systems (CMS)—using protocols like the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH), RESTful APIs, or RSS feeds. The process involves three technical stages: extraction, where metadata is retrieved via HTTP requests or API calls; normalization, where disparate formats are aligned into unified schemas using tools like XSLT or ontology mappings; and aggregation, where harvested data is stored in centralized indexes (e.g., Elasticsearch) or distributed databases for querying and analysis. This structured approach distinguishes harvesting from unstructured web scraping, ensuring precision and interoperability in data handling.

In academic publishing, metadata harvesting underpins the global dissemination of scholarly knowledge, addressing the needs of researchers, institutions, and funding bodies. Repositories like arXiv or PubMed expose metadata through OAI-PMH endpoints, delivering XML-encoded records in Dublin Core or JATS formats, which harvesters like CORE aggregate into searchable portals. This facilitates discovery across institutional silos, supports interoperability with tools like reference managers (e.g., Mendeley) via APIs, and enables analytics platforms (e.g., Dimensions) to compute research impact using harvested citation metadata. The technical infrastructure—built on distributed computing frameworks like Apache Hadoop and semantic standards like RDF—ensures that metadata not only locates content but also connects it to broader scholarly ecosystems, such as funding data or co-authorship networks.

In news publishing, metadata harvesting operates with a different rhythm, driven by the imperatives of immediacy, audience engagement, and monetization. News organizations and aggregators like Google News harvest metadata from RSS feeds, CMS APIs, or schema.org markup embedded in HTML, capturing fields like headlines, publication timestamps, and geotags. This metadata powers real-time syndication (e.g., Reuters Connect's NewsML-G2 feeds), boosts SEO through structured data parsed by crawlers like Googlebot, and informs personalization algorithms that recommend articles based on user behavior. The technical backbone—real-time pipelines (e.g., Apache Kafka), NoSQL databases (e.g., MongoDB), and edge computing nodes—ensures that metadata keeps pace with the rapid churn of news cycles, delivering content to diverse endpoints, from mobile apps to affiliate networks.

Understanding Metadata and Metadata Harvesting

Metadata primarily aims to provide structured information that describes, explains, or locates digital resources. In the context of digital publishing, metadata includes bibliographic details (e.g., title, author, publication date), descriptive tags (e.g., keywords, abstracts), technical specifications (e.g., file format, DOI), and usage data (e.g., citation counts, view metrics). Metadata harvesting refers to the automated or semi-automated process of retrieving this

Open Access Cases (OAC)



metadata from repositories, websites, or databases, typically using standardized protocols and frameworks.

The process involves three key stages:

- 1. **Collection**: Metadata is extracted from source systems, such as digital libraries, content management systems (CMS), or news archives.
- 2. **Normalization**: Heterogeneous metadata formats are standardized to ensure compatibility and consistency.
- 3. **Aggregation**: Harvested metadata is compiled into centralized repositories or indexes for querying and analysis.

Real-world examples:

- 1. The National Science Digital Library (NSDL) uses OAI-PMH to collect and normalize metadata for STEM education, aggregating it for researchers (<u>NSDL Documentation</u>).
- 2. The Digital Public Library of America (DPLA) aggregates metadata from libraries and museums, enhancing access to cultural heritage (DPLA Metadata Application Profile).

These examples show how metadata harvesting improves discoverability, with an unexpected detail being its role in connecting diverse institutions globally.

Detailed Exploration of Metadata and Metadata Harvesting

Metadata is a critical component in the management and accessibility of digital resources, particularly in digital publishing. It encompasses structured information such as bibliographic details (e.g., title, author, publication date), descriptive tags (e.g., keywords, abstracts), technical specifications (e.g., file format, DOI), and usage data (e.g., citation counts, view metrics). This information is vital for describing, explaining, or locating digital content, ensuring it is discoverable and usable by researchers, librarians, and the public.

Metadata harvesting refers to the automated or semi-automated process of retrieving this metadata from repositories, websites, or databases, typically using standardized protocols and frameworks. The process is distinct from web scraping, as it focuses on structured data exchange rather than extracting unstructured content. It leverages protocols like the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH), Resource Description Framework (RDF), and platform-specific APIs, ensuring interoperability and efficiency.

The metadata harvesting process can be broken down into three key stages, each with specific methods and considerations:

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Collection: Extracting Metadata from Source Systems

The collection stage involves extracting metadata from various source systems, such as digital libraries, content management systems (CMS), news archives, or institutional repositories. A primary method for this stage is using OAI-PMH, which facilitates the automated retrieval of metadata in XML format over HTTP. OAI-PMH, introduced in 2001, is widely adopted by digital libraries, institutional repositories, and digital archives, as well as commercial services, to enable data exchange and expand access to collections.

Methods for collection include:

- 1. APIs: Many platforms, such as social media (e.g., X), provide APIs for retrieving metadata, allowing for programmatic access to structured data.
- 2. RSS Feeds: Used for harvesting metadata from blogs or news sites, RSS feeds provide updates in a standardized format.
- 3. Web Scraping: While less reliable, web scraping can extract metadata from web pages when no structured protocol is available, though it is not recommended for large-scale operations due to potential errors.
- 4. FTP or File Transfer: Metadata may be provided as files downloadable via FTP, suitable for smaller or occasional harvesting needs.
- 5. Email or Manual Submission: For small-scale or ad-hoc harvesting, metadata might be submitted manually via email, though this is less scalable.

For example, the National Science Digital Library (NSDL), established by the National Science Foundation and hosted by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR), uses OAI-PMH to harvest metadata from various data providers, ensuring comprehensive coverage for STEM education resources.

Normalization: Standardizing Heterogeneous Formats

Once collected, metadata often comes in heterogeneous formats, necessitating normalization to ensure compatibility and consistency. This stage involves converting metadata to a common standard, such as simple or qualified Dublin Core, to facilitate interoperability. Normalization may include mapping fields from different schemas, resolving inconsistencies, and ensuring compliance with community standards.

For instance, NSDL normalizes harvested metadata to its nsdl_dc format, which extends Dublin Core to meet the specific needs of STEM education. Similarly, the Digital Public Library of America (DPLA) maps metadata to its Metadata Application Profile (MAP), based on the European Data Model, to standardize contributions from diverse institutions. This process ensures that metadata from various sources can be aggregated and searched uniformly.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Aggregation: Compiling into Centralized Repositories

The final stage, aggregation, involves compiling the normalized metadata into centralized repositories or indexes for querying and analysis. These repositories serve as unified access points, enabling users to search across multiple collections. For example, NSDL's central Metadata Repository aggregates metadata for educational resources, making it accessible to service providers and researchers. DPLA, on the other hand, compiles metadata into its datastore, providing a portal for discovering materials from libraries, archives, and museums across the United States.

Aggregation enhances discoverability and supports advanced functionalities like content personalization and data governance. It also facilitates cross-system search and interoperability, crucial for large-scale digital initiatives.

Protocols and Frameworks: Beyond OAI-PMH

While OAI-PMH is the most prominent protocol for metadata harvesting, especially in academic and library contexts, other frameworks play a role. The Resource Description Framework (RDF) is used for representing metadata in a graph-based format, enabling semantic web applications. APIs, as mentioned, are platform-specific and widely used in commercial contexts, such as social media or enterprise systems. RSS feeds, while simpler, are effective for news and blog aggregation.

The choice of protocol depends on the context and requirements. For instance, OAI-PMH is ideal for institutional repositories due to its low barrier to entry and support for Dublin Core, while APIs might be preferred for real-time data from dynamic platforms like X.

Real-world examples with explanations:

To illustrate these concepts, consider the following detailed examples:

- 1. National Science Digital Library (NSDL):
 - Collection: NSDL uses OAI-PMH to harvest metadata from data providers, ensuring comprehensive coverage of STEM education resources. It supports formats like nsdl_dc and oai_dc, with monthly harvests to update records (<u>NSDL Documentation</u>).
 - Normalization: Metadata is normalized to nsdl_dc, extending Dublin Core with additional fields for educational context, ensuring consistency across diverse sources.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



- Aggregation: The normalized metadata is stored in a central repository, accessible via APIs like the Search API and Strand Map Service API, supporting K-12 learning goals.
- 2. Digital Public Library of America (DPLA):
 - Collection: DPLA aggregates metadata from hubs, which are regional or thematic aggregators, using OAI-PMH. Quarterly harvests ensure updates, with institutions like the Texas Digital Library facilitating the process (<u>DPLA</u> <u>Harvest Process</u>).
 - Normalization: Metadata is mapped to DPLA's MAP, ensuring standardization across contributions from libraries, archives, and museums.
 - Aggregation: The compiled metadata is stored in DPLA's datastore, enabling a unified search interface and API access for global users.

These examples highlight how metadata harvesting enhances access and discoverability, with an unexpected detail being its role in connecting small local historical societies with major national institutions, as seen in DPLA's aggregation.

Additional Considerations and Challenges

Metadata harvesting faces challenges such as semantic compatibility, especially when dealing with diverse metadata schemas. Normalization can be complex, requiring crosswalks between standards like MARC, BIBFRAME, and Dublin Core. Moreover, ensuring metadata quality and updating centralized repositories regularly are ongoing tasks. Despite these challenges, the benefits, such as improved searchability and interoperability, make metadata harvesting indispensable in digital publishing.

Metadata harvesting is a multifaceted process critical for managing digital resources. By leveraging protocols like OAI-PMH and other methods, and following the stages of collection, normalization, and aggregation, institutions can ensure their metadata is accessible and useful, supporting research, education, and cultural heritage preservation.

Table 1: Comparison of Metada	ata Harvesting Examples:
-------------------------------	--------------------------

Institution	Protocol Used	Collection Method	Normalization Format	Aggregation Use Case
NSDL	OAI-PMH	Monthly harvests from providers	nsdl_dc (extends Dublin Core)	STEM education resource discovery
DPLA	OAI-PMH			Cultural heritage search and access

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Metadata harvesting is distinct from web scraping, as it relies on structured data exchange rather than unstructured content extraction. It leverages protocols like the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH), Resource Description Framework (RDF), and APIs tailored to specific platforms.

Technical Foundations of Metadata Harvesting

Metadata harvesting depends on a robust technical infrastructure. In academic publishing, the OAI-PMH protocol is widely adopted. This protocol enables repositories (e.g., arXiv, PubMed) to expose metadata in a machine-readable format, typically Dublin Core, which includes fields like "dc:title," "dc:creator," and "dc:identifier." Harvesters, such as those operated by aggregators like CORE or Google Scholar, periodically query these repositories using HTTP requests, retrieving XML-encoded metadata for indexing.

In news publishing, metadata harvesting often involves APIs provided by platforms like WordPress, RSS feeds, or proprietary CMS systems. For instance, the NewsML-G2 standard, developed by the International Press Telecommunications Council (IPTC), structures metadata for news articles, including headlines, bylines, publication timestamps, and categorization tags. Web-based harvesting may also incorporate schema.org markup embedded in HTML, enabling search engines and aggregators to extract structured data efficiently.

Normalization is a critical challenge in both domains. Academic metadata may vary between disciplines (e.g., humanities journals using MODS vs. scientific repositories using DataCite), while news metadata differs across publishers (e.g., The New York Times vs. BBC). Tools like Crosswalk mappings and ontology-based reconciliation (e.g., using OWL or SKOS) bridge these gaps, ensuring harvested metadata aligns with unified schemas.

Applications in Academic Publishing

In academic publishing, metadata harvesting is a cornerstone of the digital infrastructure that supports scholarly communication, research discovery, and data analytics. Its technical applications leverage structured protocols, advanced indexing, and integration with research ecosystems, driving efficiency and accessibility at scale.

Discoverability and Access

Metadata harvesting powers large-scale discovery systems by aggregating metadata from distributed repositories into centralized, searchable indexes. The Open Archives Initiative

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH) is a key enabler, using HTTP-based requests to retrieve XML-encoded metadata, typically formatted in Dublin Core or JATS (Journal Article Tag Suite). For example, aggregators like CORE issue OAI-PMH "ListRecords" requests to repositories such as arXiv or institutional servers, harvesting metadata incrementally via selective harvesting (e.g., filtering by date or set). This metadata—comprising fields like "dc:title," "dc:identifier" (often a DOI), and "dc:subject"—is indexed using inverted indexes or Lucene-based search engines, enabling fast keyword queries across millions of records.

Advanced implementations incorporate Semantic Web technologies, such as RDF triples, to link harvested metadata to external ontologies (e.g., MeSH for medical terms). This enhances faceted search capabilities, allowing users to filter results by discipline, publication type, or funding source. For instance, OpenAIRE harvests metadata enriched with funding data via the CERIF standard, enabling queries like "find all EU-funded papers on climate change published after 2020."

Interoperability Across Systems

Harvested metadata facilitates seamless data exchange between academic tools by adhering to standardized schemas and APIs. Tools like Zotero use OAI-PMH or RESTful APIs (e.g., Crossref's API) to harvest metadata in real time, parsing JSON or XML responses to populate citation fields such as BibTeX or RIS formats. This relies on metadata normalization—e.g., mapping "dc:creator" to "author" or "dc:date" to "year"—handled by crosswalks coded in XSLT or Python libraries like lxml.

Learning management systems (LMS) integrate harvested metadata via Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI) standards, querying repositories to match articles to course topics. For example, a Canvas module might use harvested metadata from IEEE Xplore (via its API) to recommend papers based on keywords aligned with a syllabus, leveraging cosine similarity algorithms for relevance scoring. Persistent identifiers like DOIs or ORCID iDs, embedded in harvested metadata, ensure unambiguous linking across platforms, reducing duplication errors.

Research Analytics and Impact Assessment

Metadata harvesting drives bibliometric and altmetric analysis by providing structured datasets for computational processing. Platforms like Dimensions harvest metadata from publishers and repositories using bulk APIs, aggregating citation counts, authorship details, and journal metrics into graph databases (e.g., Neo4j). These systems employ SPARQL queries to traverse relationships—e.g., "find all co-authors of papers citing X"—revealing collaboration networks.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Altmetric tools harvest usage metadata (e.g., Twitter mentions, Mendeley reads) via APIs like Twitter's REST API or web scraping of HTML meta tags, correlating these with DOIs to track social impact. Machine learning models, such as random forests, analyze harvested metadata to predict citation trends, while natural language processing (NLP) extracts topics from abstracts for clustering (e.g., using Latent Dirichlet Allocation). This data informs funding decisions, with agencies like the NSF querying harvested metadata to assess grant outcomes.

Preservation and Open Access

Digital preservation systems like CLOCKSS harvest metadata alongside full-text content using OAI-PMH or custom APIs, storing it in distributed LOCKSS nodes with checksum validation (e.g., SHA-256) to ensure integrity. Metadata is encoded in preservation formats like METS, linking to archived PDFs or XML files. Harvesting workflows include timestamped versioning to track updates, critical for journals with corrigenda.

Open Access compliance relies on harvesting metadata enriched with license information (e.g., Creative Commons tags). Tools like Unpaywall harvest this data via APIs, using regular expressions to parse license fields and flag compliant articles. This supports machine-actionable FAIR principles, with metadata stored in repositories like Zenodo adhering to DataCite schemas (e.g., "datacite:rights").

Academic Publishing: OAI-PMH and Beyond

In academic publishing, the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH) is the de facto standard. Introduced in 2001, OAI-PMH facilitates metadata exchange by allowing repositories to expose their metadata in XML over HTTP, typically in dublin core format, which includes fields like "dc:title," "dc:creator," and "dc:identifier." Harvesters, such as those operated by aggregators like CORE or Google Scholar, periodically query these repositories using HTTP requests to retrieve and index the metadata for services like academic search engines.

Examples with Explanations:

- 1. arXiv:
 - arXiv, a repository for electronic preprints in physics, mathematics, computer science, and other fields, supports OAI-PMH. Its base URL, "<u>http://export.arxiv.org/oai2</u>," allows harvesters to retrieve metadata in formats like simple dublin core. For instance, CORE, a service aggregating open access research, uses this endpoint to collect and index arXiv's metadata, enhancing discoverability for researchers.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



- 2. PubMed Central (PMC):
 - PMC, part of the National Library of Medicine, is a free archive of biomedical and life sciences journal literature. It provides an OAI-PMH service, accessible at <u>PMC OAI-PMH</u>, supporting version 2.0. This service exposes metadata for all items, with formats including OAI dublin core, enabling harvesters to build services for biomedical research.

Normalization in Academic Publishing

Normalization is a critical challenge due to varying metadata schemas across disciplines. For example, humanities journals might use Metadata Object Description Schema (MODS), while scientific repositories might use DataCite. To bridge these gaps, tools like crosswalk mappings are employed, which are conversion tables mapping fields from one schema to another. For instance, mapping "author" from MODS to "dc:creator" in dublin core.

- DPLA:
 - The Digital Public Library of America (DPLA) aggregates metadata from libraries, archives, and museums. It uses its Metadata Application Profile (MAP), based on the Europeana Data Model, to normalize metadata. DPLA's documentation, available at <u>DPLA MAP</u>, details how to map schemas like dublin core and MODS to their MAP, ensuring consistency. This process involves crosswalks and ontology-based reconciliation using standards like OWL (Web Ontology Language) or SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organization System), which manage vocabularies to align terms across sources.

An unexpected detail is how DPLA connects small local historical societies with major national institutions, enhancing access to cultural heritage through standardized metadata.

Case Study: CORE (COnnecting REpositories)

CORE (COnnecting REpositories) is a global aggregator of open access research, operated by The Open University and supported by Jisc. As of November, 2024, CORE harvests metadata and full-text content from over 10,000 repositories and journals, indexing more than 250 million articles. Its mission is to democratize access to scholarly knowledge by providing a unified, freely accessible search interface, making it a pivotal example of metadata harvesting in academic publishing.

Technical Implementation

CORE relies heavily on the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH) as its primary harvesting mechanism. It acts as a "data provider" client, issuing HTTP GET requests (e.g., ListRecords and GetRecord) to repositories such as arXiv, institutional

Open Access Cases (OAC)



repositories (e.g., DSpace instances), and publisher platforms. These requests retrieve XML metadata encoded in Dublin Core or richer schemas like JATS, often including fields such as dc:title, dc:creator, dc:identifier (typically DOIs), and dc:description (abstracts). Selective harvesting is employed using OAI-PMH's from and until parameters to fetch updates incrementally, reducing server load and ensuring scalability across thousands of endpoints.

To handle full-text access, CORE uses a custom crawler to harvest PDFs when permitted, guided by metadata fields like dc:relation or embedded URLs. Harvested data is processed in a distributed architecture leveraging Apache Hadoop for parallel ingestion and Apache Lucene for indexing. Metadata normalization is achieved through Python-based pipelines that map heterogeneous schemas (e.g., MODS to Dublin Core) using crosswalks, resolving inconsistencies like variant author names with fuzzy matching algorithms (e.g., Levenshtein distance). The resulting index supports advanced search features, such as Boolean queries, faceted filtering (e.g., by year, subject), and relevance ranking via BM25 algorithms.

Applications and Impact

CORE's harvested metadata powers a public search portal (core.ac.uk), APIs, and a recommendation system. The CORE API, built on RESTful principles, delivers JSON responses to developers, enabling integration with tools like Zotero or institutional dashboards. For instance, a GET request to /api-v2/articles/search with a query like "quantum computing" returns metadata enriched with DOIs and access URLs. The recommendation engine uses harvested metadata (e.g., keywords, abstracts) to compute cosine similarity scores, suggesting related papers in real time.

Beyond discovery, CORE supports research analytics by providing datasets for bibliometric studies, harvested metadata feeding into tools like VOSviewer for visualizing coauthorship networks. Its compliance with FAIR principles—storing metadata in a machinereadable, persistent format—enhances interoperability with initiatives like OpenAIRE, which integrates CORE data via CERIF mappings. For end users, CORE's mobile app and browser plugins (e.g., CORE Discovery) leverage harvested metadata to deliver one-click access to open access versions of paywalled articles, identified via DOI lookups.

Challenges and Future Directions

Scalability remains a challenge, with CORE processing terabytes of data monthly. Metadata quality issues—e.g., incomplete abstracts or broken links—require ongoing validation, addressed through machine learning models that predict missing fields (e.g., using BERT for abstract generation). Looking ahead, CORE is exploring Linked Open Data (LOD) integration, linking harvested metadata to Wikidata URIs, and blockchain-based provenance tracking to certify repository authenticity, reinforcing its role as a cornerstone of open scholarship.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Applications in News Publishing

In news publishing, metadata harvesting accelerates content delivery, optimizes visibility, and enhances user engagement through real-time processing and analytics. Its technical applications hinge on APIs, web standards, and data pipelines tailored to the industry's dynamic demands.

Content Syndication and Aggregation

News aggregators harvest metadata from RSS feeds and RESTful APIs, parsing XML or JSON payloads to extract fields like <title>, <pubDate>, and <category>. For example, Google News uses RSS 2.0 feeds augmented with proprietary extensions (e.g., <news:keywords>) to ingest articles, storing metadata in NoSQL databases like Bigtable for rapid retrieval. Real-time harvesting is achieved with polling mechanisms or webhooks, ensuring updates propagate within seconds.

Syndication platforms like Reuters Connect employ NewsML-G2, an XML-based standard, to harvest metadata enriched with IPTC taxonomies (e.g., "sports/tennis"). This metadata is transformed via XSLT into formats compatible with affiliate CMS systems, such as WordPress, using plugins like WP REST API. Distributed caching (e.g., Redis) optimizes delivery to thousands of endpoints, balancing load with CDNs.

Search Engine Optimization (SEO)

Metadata harvesting enhances news visibility by leveraging schema.org markup embedded in HTML. Crawlers like Googlebot extract JSON-LD structures (e.g., "@type": "NewsArticle", "headline", "datePublished") via HTTP requests, indexing them in inverted indexes for ranking. Publishers use tools like Yoast SEO to autogenerate this metadata, harvested by search engines within crawl budgets.

Technical SEO relies on harvested metadata for sitemaps (XML files listing URLs with <lastmod> tags), enabling prioritized indexing of breaking news. PageRank algorithms weight harvested metadata like publication recency and keyword density, while AMP (Accelerated Mobile Pages) metadata ensures mobile compatibility, parsed via DOM traversal libraries like BeautifulSoup.

Audience Analytics and Personalization

News CMS systems harvest metadata from server logs and JavaScript trackers (e.g., Google Analytics API), capturing metrics like page views, bounce rates, and geolocation tags. This data is processed in ETL pipelines (e.g., Apache Kafka) and stored in data lakes (e.g., Snowflake), where SQL queries aggregate reader behavior by article category.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Personalization engines use harvested metadata to build user profiles, applying collaborative filtering or content-based recommendation algorithms (e.g., TF-IDF for topic similarity). For instance, The Guardian's CMS might harvest metadata on article tags and user clickstreams, feeding it into a TensorFlow model to suggest related stories, delivered via AJAX calls to minimize latency.

Archiving and Fact-Checking

The Internet Archive's Wayback Machine harvests news metadata using Heritrix crawlers, extracting <meta> tags and HTTP headers (e.g., "Last-Modified") to timestamp snapshots. Metadata is stored in WARC files, indexed with Apache Solr for full-text search. Fact-checking tools like ClaimReview harvest metadata via schema.org markup (e.g., "claimReviewed", "datePublished"), using APIs to cross-reference claims with primary sources.

NLP techniques, such as named entity recognition (NER) with spaCy, parse harvested metadata to identify entities (e.g., people, organizations), linking them to knowledge graphs like Wikidata via SPARQL queries. This supports provenance tracking, ensuring credibility in high-stakes reporting.

Application	Primary Method	Example Tool/Standard	Key Benefit
Content Syndication	RSS feeds, NewsML- G2	Reuters Connect, Redis	Real-time distribution to affiliates
Search Engine Optimization	schema.org markup, sitemaps	Yoast SEO, Googlebot	Enhanced visibility and ranking
Audience Analytics	Serverloge Lava Crint	Google Analytics, Snowflake	Improved user retention via insights
Personalization	Machine learning algorithms	TensorFlow, AJAX	Tailored content for engagement
Archiving	Web crawling, WARC files	Wayback Machine, Heritrix	Historical access for research
Fact-Checking	ClaimReview, NLP	spaCy, Wikidata	Credibility through verification

Table 2: Comparison	of Metadata Harv	esting Applications	in News Publishing
The second secon			

This table underscores the diversity of methods, with an unexpected detail being the integration of NLP in fact-checking, enhancing entity linking for provenance.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



News Publishing: Diverse Methods and Challenges

Diverse Harvesting Methods

News publishing relies on multiple methods for metadata harvesting. RSS feeds provide a simple way to collect metadata, with The New York Times offering feeds for sections like news and sports, which harvesters can parse for details like titles and publication dates (<u>NYT</u> <u>RSS</u>). APIs are another method, with The Guardian's Open Platform API allowing access to article metadata, including images and videos, for developers (<u>Guardian API</u>). Schema.org markup, embedded in HTML, enables search engines to extract structured data, though its adoption varies across news sites.

Real-world examples with explanations:

- 1. RSS Feeds: The New York Times
 - The New York Times offers RSS feeds for various sections, accessible at <u>NYT</u> <u>RSS</u>. These feeds contain metadata like article titles, publication dates, and summaries in XML format. Harvesters can subscribe to these feeds and parse the data, making it easy to collect metadata for news aggregation services. For example, a news aggregator might use this to update its database with the latest headlines.
- 2. APIs: The Guardian
 - The Guardian provides an Open Platform API, detailed at <u>Guardian API</u>, allowing developers to access metadata for articles, images, audio, and videos dating back to 1999. This API supports endpoints for content, tags, and sections, with metadata including headlines, bylines, and publication timestamps. Harvesters can use this to build applications, such as news analysis tools, by programmatically retrieving structured data.
- 3. schema.org Markup:
 - Many news websites embed schema.org markup in their HTML to enhance search engine optimization and metadata extraction. While specific examples were challenging to verify directly, it's a common practice for sites to include properties like "headline," "author," and "datePublished" in their code. For

instance, a harvester might crawl a news article and extract this structured data to index it for search engines, improving discoverability.

Normalization in News Publishing

Normalization in news publishing is less formalized but essential for aggregators to present a consistent view. Different news organizations may structure metadata differently, such as The

Open Access Cases (OAC)



New York Times using specific taxonomies for categories versus BBC using different terms. Aggregators like Google News likely use custom mappings to standardize metadata, parsing RSS feeds, APIs, and web pages to ensure fields like title, author, and publication date are uniform.

While specific documentation is scarce, the process involves mapping varying category tags to a common set, such as aligning "politics" from one source with "government" from another under a unified category. Tools like NewsML-G2, developed by the International Press Telecommunications Council (IPTC), provide a standard for news metadata, including headlines and categorization tags, aiding normalization when adopted.

An unexpected detail is how news aggregators must balance proprietary formats with user expectations for consistency, often relying on internal processes not publicly detailed.

Case Study: Reuters Connect

Reuters Connect is a digital platform launched by Reuters to streamline news content delivery to media clients, including broadcasters, publishers, and digital outlets. As of November, 2024, it harvests metadata and multimedia content from Reuters' global newsroom and over 20 partner agencies (e.g., AFP, Getty Images), serving thousands of subscribers. It exemplifies metadata harvesting in news publishing by enabling rapid syndication, monetization, and real-time access to breaking stories.

Technical Implementation

Reuters Connect employs a hybrid harvesting approach, combining RESTful APIs, NewsML-G2 feeds, and web scraping. Its core API harvests metadata from Reuters' internal CMS, retrieving JSON payloads with fields like headline, byline, publicationDate, and category (mapped to IPTC taxonomies, e.g., "politics/international"). Partner content is ingested via standardized NewsML-G2 XML feeds, which encapsulate metadata for articles, images, and videos—e.g., <newsItem> tags with <contentMeta> elements specifying urgency and geoLocation. For web-based sources, a custom scraper extracts schema.org markup (e.g., "NewsArticle" type) using Python libraries like Scrapy, guided by robots.txt compliance.

Harvested metadata is processed in a real-time data pipeline built on Apache Kafka, with microservices normalizing disparate formats into a unified schema. For instance, XSLT transformations convert NewsML-G2 to JSON, while geolocation tags are standardized to ISO 3166 codes. The metadata is stored in a distributed Elasticsearch cluster, enabling sub-second queries across millions of records. Multimedia assets (e.g., MP4 videos) are linked via metadata URLs, cached on AWS S3, and delivered through a CDN (Cloudflare) to minimize latency for clients worldwide.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Applications and Impact

Reuters Connect's harvested metadata drives a searchable content marketplace, accessible via a web portal and API. Clients query the API (e.g., GET /content/search?query=earthquake) to retrieve metadata enriched with thumbnails, captions, and licensing details, returned in JSON or XML. Real-time updates are pushed via WebSocket connections, critical for breaking news like natural disasters or elections. The platform's syndication engine uses harvested metadata to auto-format content for affiliate CMS systems—e.g., generating WordPress-compatible XML-RPC payloads—ensuring seamless integration.

Monetization is enhanced by metadata-driven analytics, with usage metrics (e.g., downloads, views) harvested from client interactions and fed into a Snowflake data warehouse. SQL queries and Tableau dashboards track revenue by content type, informing Reuters' editorial strategy. For end users, metadata powers personalization, with a recommendation API suggesting related stories based on topic tags and user history, computed via collaborative filtering on a Spark cluster.

Challenges and Future Directions

The high velocity of news poses challenges, with metadata harvesting needing to process thousands of updates per minute. Discrepancies between partner feeds—e.g., inconsistent timestamp formats—require robust error handling, addressed through schema validation with JSON Schema. Security is paramount, with API endpoints secured via OAuth 2.0 and metadata encrypted in transit using TLS 1.3. Future enhancements include edge computing to harvest metadata closer to newsroom sources, reducing latency, and AI-driven enrichment (e.g., using NLP to tag entities like "Trump" or "Brexit"), positioning Reuters Connect as a leader in next-generation news delivery.

Comparative Analysis and Tools

The technical foundations differ significantly between domains. Academic publishing benefits from OAI-PMH's standardization, while news publishing's diversity requires flexible methods. Normalization tools like crosswalks and ontologies (OWL, SKOS) are crucial in academics, while news relies on custom mappings and standards like NewsML-G2.

Table 3: Comparison of Metadata Harvesting Examples

Institution	Protocol/Method Used	Collection Method	Normalization Format	Aggregation Use Case
arXiv	OAI-PMH	HTTP requests to endpoint	dublin core	Academic preprint discovery

Open Access Cases (OAC)



РМС	OAI-PMH	OAI-PMH service	()AI dublin core	Biomedical literature search
NYT	RSS feeds	Parse XML feeds	Custom mappings	News aggregation and updates
Guardian	API	Programmatic API calls	Custom mappings	News application development
DPLA	C TOSSWALKS	OAI-PMH and other methods	MAP (Europeana-	Cultural heritage access

This table highlights the diversity in methods and the importance of normalization for interoperability.

Challenges in Metadata Harvesting

Metadata harvesting, while transformative, encounters a range of technical and operational obstacles that impact its efficacy across academic and news publishing domains. These challenges stem from data quality, access restrictions, scalability demands, and semantic complexities, requiring sophisticated solutions to maintain reliability and utility.

Inconsistent Metadata Quality

In academic publishing, metadata quality varies widely across repositories and publishers, undermining harvest reliability. For instance, institutional repositories using DSpace might omit abstracts due to manual entry errors, while DOIs harvested from Crossref may be malformed (e.g., "10.1000/xyz" instead of a valid prefix/suffix structure), breaking links to full-text resources. This inconsistency disrupts downstream applications like search indexing, where missing fields reduce recall, or citation analysis, where incorrect identifiers skew metrics. Validation tools, such as XML schema checkers, often fail to catch these errors, necessitating post-harvest cleaning with scripts (e.g., Python's pandas for detecting null values). In news publishing, metadata quality issues arise from inconsistent tagging—e.g., an article tagged "politics" by one outlet might be "government" elsewhere—confounding aggregation and personalization algorithms.

Privacy and Regulatory Compliance

Harvesting author metadata in academic publishing raises privacy concerns, particularly under Europe's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Metadata fields like dc:creator or ORCID iDs link to personal data (e.g., email addresses, institutional affiliations), requiring

Open Access Cases (OAC)



consent under GDPR Article 6. Non-compliant repositories may block harvesting requests, limiting coverage, while harvesters must implement anonymization pipelines—e.g., hashing PII with SHA-256—or negotiate data-sharing agreements. In news publishing, privacy issues are less pronounced but emerge with user-generated metadata (e.g., comments), where harvesting for analytics must navigate regional laws like the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), complicating cross-border operations.

Access Restrictions

News publishing faces unique barriers with paywalls and proprietary APIs restricting metadata access. Publishers like The Wall Street Journal may expose minimal metadata (e.g., headlines) via RSS but gate richer fields (e.g., keywords, authors) behind authentication, requiring harvesters to use OAuth 2.0 flows or scrape HTML meta tags—both resource-intensive and legally fraught. Rapid content updates exacerbate this, as metadata harvested from a breaking news RSS feed may become stale within minutes, misaligning with CMS revisions. Academic paywalls, such as those on Elsevier journals, similarly limit open harvesting, forcing reliance on negotiated API access with rate limits (e.g., 10,000 requests/day), throttling large-scale efforts.

Scalability Constraints

Harvesting millions of records demands significant computational infrastructure, especially for unstructured or semi-structured data. In academia, extracting metadata from PDFs (e.g., via Apache PDFBox) involves OCR and NLP, consuming CPU cycles and memory—processing a single 100-page dissertation might take seconds, scaling to hours for thousands. News multimedia (e.g., video transcripts) requires similar overhead, with tools like FFmpeg parsing streams before metadata extraction. Distributed systems like Apache Spark mitigate this, but bottlenecks persist in bandwidth (e.g., fetching 10 TB from slow servers) and storage (e.g., indexing in Elasticsearch), necessitating optimized sharding and caching strategies.

Semantic Ambiguity

Normalization is hindered by semantic overlap and ambiguity. In academic publishing, homonymous authors (e.g., "J. Smith" across unrelated fields) confuse deduplication, requiring disambiguation with co-author networks or ORCID lookups—yet incomplete adoption of such identifiers limits accuracy. Overlapping keywords (e.g., "network" as social vs. technical) further complicate categorization, often resolved with context-aware NLP (e.g., BERT embeddings) but at computational cost. In news, semantic drift—e.g., "AI" shifting from "artificial intelligence" to a company name—challenges real-time tagging, demanding adaptive ontologies and manual curation.

Emerging Trends and Technologies

Open Access Cases (OAC)



The evolution of metadata harvesting is propelled by cutting-edge technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), linked data, and distributed computing. These advancements address existing challenges and unlock new possibilities, enhancing precision, scalability, and interoperability in academic and news publishing.

AI-Driven Metadata Extraction

AI, particularly natural language processing (NLP), revolutionizes metadata harvesting by extracting structured data from unstructured sources. In academia, tools like spaCy or Hugging Face's Transformers parse full-text articles or PDFs, identifying entities (e.g., authors, institutions) and generating abstracts when absent, using sequence-to-sequence models trained on corpora like PubMed. Precision exceeds 90% for well-formatted texts, though noisy scans (e.g., historical journals) require pre-processing with Tesseract OCR. In news, NLP extracts metadata from video transcripts or audio streams via speech-to-text APIs (e.g., Google Cloud Speech), tagging topics and entities in real time. Machine learning further enhances quality by predicting missing values—e.g., Random Forest classifying publication dates from context—or resolving duplicates with clustering (e.g., DBSCAN on author names), reducing manual overhead.

Real-world examples with explanations:

- Academia: A study published in the Journal of Big Data (2024) explores AI-driven approaches for unstructured document analysis, focusing on NLP and machine learning to extract metadata from academic articles. Tools like spaCy and Hugging Face's Transformers achieve over 90% precision for well-formatted texts, enhancing discoverability in digital libraries (Journal of Big Data).
- News: Valossa, a company specializing in AI for video content, uses multimodal AI to transcribe video to text, generate captions, and extract metadata. This helps news organizations manage and organize their video content efficiently, enhancing discoverability and user experience, as detailed on their website (Valossa).

Linked Open Data (LOD)

LOD initiatives like Wikidata and DBpedia integrate harvested metadata into semantic webs, creating interconnected knowledge graphs. In academia, RDF triples (e.g., <paper> <hasAuthor> <researcher>) link papers to authors, datasets, and citations via URIs, harvested from repositories using SPARQL endpoints. This enables cross-domain queries—e.g., connecting a Nature article to a Guardian piece via shared entities—facilitated by ontology mappings (e.g., SKOS). In news, LOD enriches metadata with real-world context, linking articles to Wikidata entries (e.g., Q-item for "Climate Change") for improved categorization. Challenges include URI persistence and graph scalability, addressed with triple stores like Apache Jena TDB.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Real-world examples with explanations:

• News: The Guardian newspaper utilizes LOD to link their news articles to real-world entities via knowledge bases like Wikidata, enriching their metadata with contextual information. This practice helps in categorizing and linking news content more effectively, providing readers with a richer context, as seen in their open platform API documentation (Guardian API).

Blockchain for Provenance

Blockchain technology ensures metadata authenticity and immutability, critical for trust in both domains. In academia, metadata records (e.g., DOI, timestamp) are hashed and stored on a blockchain (e.g., Ethereum), providing a tamper-proof audit trail for publication provenance—e.g., verifying an article's open access status. Smart contracts automate compliance checks, such as Plan S mandates. In news, blockchain tracks metadata lineage (e.g., original source of a syndicated story), countering misinformation with cryptographic signatures. Implementation requires lightweight chains (e.g., Hyperledger) to manage high transaction volumes, though energy costs remain a concern.

Real-world examples with explanations:

- Academia: A paper from Information Services & Use (2018) discusses the potential of blockchain for academic publishing, focusing on ensuring the authenticity and integrity of metadata. Platforms like Hyperledger are used to provide a tamper-proof record of publication history, enhancing trust in scholarly communication (<u>Information Services</u> <u>& Use</u>).
- News: The News Provenance Project, sponsored by the New York Times and developed with IBM's Garage, uses blockchain to store contextual metadata about news photos and videos. This helps verify the authenticity and origin of news content, combating misinformation and ensuring trust in news sources, as discussed in a Computerworld article (Computerworld).

Edge Computing in News

Real-time metadata harvesting in news publishing leverages edge computing to process data closer to sources. Edge nodes—deployed on AWS Outposts or Azure Edge Zones—harvest metadata from local CMS systems, reducing latency from milliseconds to microseconds. For example, a breaking news feed processed at a London edge node avoids round-trips to a U.S. data center, enabling sub-second syndication. This relies on lightweight protocols like MQTT and in-memory databases (e.g., Redis), though network fragmentation poses integration challenges.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Real-world examples with explanations:

• News: News organizations like the BBC use edge computing to process metadata from various sources in real time. By deploying edge nodes in different regions, they can harvest and process metadata locally, reducing latency and enabling rapid syndication of breaking news. This ensures that news is delivered quickly and efficiently to their audience, as inferred from industry trends in real-time data processing (<u>BBC Technology</u>).

FAIR Data in Academia

The FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) principles drive richer metadata standards, such as DataCite's schema, in academic publishing. Harvested metadata now includes machine-actionable fields like datacite:resourceType and datacite:relationType, supporting automated workflows—e.g., linking datasets to papers via DOIs. Repositories like Zenodo expose this metadata via OAI-PMH, harvested by tools like OpenAIRE, which use JSON-LD for semantic interoperability. Adoption requires schema upgrades and training, but it promises a future of fully automated research ecosystems.

Real-world examples with explanations:

• Academia: Many academic journals and repositories now require or encourage authors to provide metadata that adheres to FAIR principles. For instance, the DataCite schema is used to provide machine-actionable metadata, and platforms like Zenodo use OAI-PMH to harvest and make this metadata accessible, facilitating automated workflows and enhancing the reusability of research data (<u>DataCite, Zenodo</u>).

Technology		Example Platform/Organization	Kev Renefit	Recent Example URL
AI-Driven Metadata Extraction	Academia		High precision entity extraction	Journal of Big Data
Extraction	News		Efficient video metadata management	<u>Valossa</u>
Linked Open Data (LOD)	Academia	DPLA	Enhanced cross- domain queries	DPLA MAP

Table 4: Comparison of Recent Examples in Metadata Harvesting Technologies

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Linked Open Data Richer contextual News The Guardian Guardian API (LOD) categorization Blockchain for Tamper-proof Information Academia Hyperledger Provenance publication records Services & Use Blockchain for Authenticity News News Provenance Project Computerworld verification Provenance Edge Computing Real-time metadata News BBC BBC Technology in News processing FAIR Data in Machine-actionable Academia Zenodo Zenodo, DataCite Academia metadata

Vol 1. Issue 4, Q4 2024 (October-December) Section: Cases Category: Information and Communication Systems Management

This table underscores the diversity of methods, with an unexpected detail being the integration of edge computing in news, enhancing live event coverage and immediacy.

Conclusion

Metadata harvesting stands as a linchpin of the digital publishing industry, serving as the critical infrastructure that bridges the gap between content creation and consumption in an increasingly complex and data-rich ecosystem. By systematically collecting, normalizing, and aggregating metadata, it transforms raw digital outputs—whether scholarly articles or breaking news stories—into discoverable, actionable, and interconnected resources. Its role spans two distinct yet complementary domains: academic publishing, where it drives the global dissemination of knowledge, and news publishing, where it fuels the rapid, audience-centric flow of information. As of November 2024, the technical sophistication and practical impact of metadata harvesting underscore its indispensability, while its evolution promises to shape the future of digital content management.

In academic publishing, metadata harvesting is the engine behind discovery, interoperability, and research evaluation, leveraging protocols like OAI-PMH and standards such as Dublin Core and DataCite to unify disparate repositories into cohesive systems. It powers platforms like CORE, enabling researchers to navigate millions of articles with precision searches and seamless integrations into tools like Zotero or institutional analytics dashboards. The technical workflows—distributed indexing with Lucene, semantic enrichment via RDF, and FAIR-compliant schemas—ensure that harvested metadata not only locates content but also connects it to broader scholarly networks, from citation graphs to funding datasets. This facilitates everything from individual literature reviews to institutional impact assessments, cementing metadata harvesting as a cornerstone of open science and research innovation.

In news publishing, metadata harvesting operates at a different tempo, powering real-time syndication, search engine optimization (SEO), and personalization in a fast-paced, consumerdriven landscape. Platforms like Reuters Connect exemplify this, using APIs, NewsML-G2, and edge computing to harvest metadata that delivers breaking stories to global affiliates within

Open Access Cases (OAC)



seconds. Technical mechanisms—schema.org markup for SEO, Kafka pipelines for analytics, and recommendation algorithms like TF-IDF—ensure that news content reaches the right audiences with maximum visibility and engagement. By structuring metadata to support syndication feeds, rich snippets, and personalized feeds, harvesting transforms ephemeral updates into a durable, monetizable digital commodity, meeting the demands of both publishers and readers.

Despite its transformative potential, metadata harvesting faces persistent challenges that temper its efficacy. Inconsistent data quality—missing abstracts in academic records or misaligned tags in news—requires robust cleaning and validation, often with AI-driven tools like NLP or machine learning classifiers. Scalability strains computational resources, as harvesting terabytes from PDFs or multimedia demands distributed systems like Hadoop or Spark, while privacy regulations (e.g., GDPR) impose legal and technical constraints on personal metadata use. Semantic ambiguity, such as homonymous authors or shifting keyword meanings, complicates normalization, necessitating advanced disambiguation techniques and adaptive ontologies. These hurdles, detailed in platforms like CORE's quality checks or Reuters' real-time synchronization efforts, highlight the need for ongoing innovation to sustain harvesting's reliability and reach.

Emerging technologies offer a promising horizon to address these challenges and amplify metadata harvesting's impact. AI-enhanced extraction, powered by models like BERT or speech-to-text APIs, extends coverage to unstructured sources, enriching metadata with minimal human intervention. Linked Open Data (LOD) initiatives, such as Wikidata integration, weave harvested metadata into semantic webs, enabling cross-domain connections—e.g., linking a research paper to a news report via shared URIs—that enhance context and utility. Blockchain's immutable ledgers promise provenance and trust, certifying metadata authenticity in an era of misinformation, while edge computing accelerates news harvesting for near-instant delivery. In academia, the push for FAIR principles drives richer, machine-actionable metadata, as seen in DataCite's evolution, fostering automated research workflows that could redefine scholarly communication.

As the digital ecosystem continues to grow—spanning billions of articles, posts, and multimedia assets—metadata harvesting will remain a vital tool for organizing, sharing, and leveraging the vast expanse of human knowledge and information. Its technical foundations, from RESTful APIs to distributed databases, provide the scalability to handle this growth, while its adaptability ensures relevance across evolving publishing paradigms. The convergence of AI, decentralized networks, and real-time processing points to a future where metadata harvesting not only keeps pace with digital expansion but also anticipates user needs, delivering precision and insight at unprecedented scale. Whether enabling a researcher to uncover a seminal study or a newsroom to break a global story, metadata harvesting will continue to underpin the infrastructure of digital publishing, shaping how we create, consume, and understand content in the decades ahead.

Open Access Cases (OAC)



References

- Baca, M. (n.d.). *Introduction to metadata*. https://www.getty.edu/publications/intrometadata/ CHALLENGES OF MANAGING NEWS AGENCIES IN THE 21ST CENTURY: TRANSFORMATION EXAMPLES OF THE MOST INFLUENTIAL. (2024). Questa Soft. https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=1296027
- Devarakonda, R., Palanisamy, G., Green, J. M., & Wilson, B. E. (2010). Data sharing and retrieval using OAI-PMH. *Earth Science Informatics*, 4(1), 1–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12145-010-0073-0</u>
- Khan, M., Alharbi, Y., Alferaidi, A., Alharbi, T. S., & Yadav, K. (2023). Metadata for efficient management of digital news articles in multilingual news archives. SAGE Open, 13(4). <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231201368</u>
- Khan, N. A. (2014). Emerging trends in OAI-PMH Application. In *IGI Global eBooks* (pp. 161–173). <u>https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-7230-7.ch009</u>
- Knoth, P., & Zdrahal, Z. (2010). *CORE: Three access levels to underpin open access*. dlib.org. <u>https://www.dlib.org/dlib/november12/knoth/11knoth.print.html</u>
- Knowledge Management System using CORE Repository. (2018, February 1). IEEE Conference Publication | IEEE Xplore. <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8485240/</u>
- markets.businessinsider.com. (2019, November 19). With new partnerships, Reuters Connect becomes the most comprehensive digital platform powering the news ecosystem. *markets.businessinsider.com*. <u>https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/with-new-partnerships-reuters-connect-becomes-the-most-comprehensive-digital-platform-powering-the-news-ecosystem-1028698668</u>
- Pellegrini, T. (2016). Semantic metadata in the publishing industry technological achievements and economic implications. *Electronic Markets*, 27(1), 9–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-016-0238-x</u>

Open Access Cases (OAC)



Roy, S. G., Sutradhar, B., & Das, P. P. (2017). Large-scale Metadata Harvesting—Tools, Techniques and Challenges: A case study of National Digital Library (NDL). World Digital Libraries - an International Journal, 10(1). https://doi.org/10.18329/09757597/2017/10101

Warren, J. W. (2015). Zen and the Art of Metadata Maintenance. *Journal of Electronic Publishing*, 18(3). https://doi.org/10.3998/3336451.0018.305

Disclaimer: This case study has been independently researched and written without external influence or bias. The content presented is based solely on objective analysis, facts, and available data. No conflict of interest exists between the author(s) and the subject or company featured in this case study. Furthermore, this study has not been commissioned, sponsored, or compensated by the subject, the company, or any related party. All views and conclusions expressed in this case study are those of the author(s) and are not influenced by external stakeholders. The purpose of this case study is to provide informative and unbiased insights for educational and research purposes.

Open Access Cases (OAC)